

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION

RODNEY LEE MAJOR, SR., 247758,

Plaintiff,

v.

WALTER MYERS, et al.,

Defendants.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:19-CV-273-MHT

ORDER ON MOTION

On August 5, 2019, the plaintiff filed a motion for appointment of counsel. Doc. 28. A review of the complaint demonstrates that the facts underlying the excessive force and failure to protect claims are clearly understood and easily recited by the plaintiff. In addition, the law applicable to such claims is not complex nor is the plaintiff required to cite any case law to this court in order to proceed on his claims. Moreover, it is clear from the complaint and other documents filed by the plaintiff that he understands the nature of the proceedings herein and is capable of litigating his claims in this court. Thus, there are no circumstances present herein, exceptional or otherwise, justifying appointment of counsel at this time. *See Bass v. Perrin*, 170 F.3d 1312, 1320 (11th Cir. 1999); *Kilgo v. Ricks*, 983 F.2d 189, 193 (11th Cir. 1993); *Dean v. Barber*, 951 F.2d 1210, 1216 (11th Cir. 1992). Accordingly, the interests of justice do not now warrant appointment of counsel, and it is

ORDERED that the motion for appointment of counsel be and is hereby DENIED.

DONE this 6th day of August, 2019.

/s/ Charles S. Coody

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE